

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	§	
vs.	§	NO: WA:19-CR-00134(1)-ADA
	§	
(1) DEMETRES JAMAR EASTERLING	§	

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**  
**OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Before the court is the above styled and numbered cause. On September 1, 2023 the United States Probation Office filed a Petition For Warrant or Summons For Offender Under Supervision for Defendant (1) DEMETRES JAMAR EASTERLING, which alleged that Easterling violated a condition of his supervised release and recommended that Easterling 's supervised release be revoked (Clerk's Document No. 52). A warrant issued and Easterling was arrested. On September 25, 2023, Easterling appeared before a United States Magistrate Judge, was ordered detained, and a revocation of supervised release hearing was set.

Easterling appeared before the magistrate judge on November 7, 2023, waived his right to a preliminary hearing and to be present before the United States District Judge at the time of modification of sentence, and consented to allocution before the magistrate judge. Following the hearing, the magistrate judge signed his report and recommendation on November 7, 2023, which provides that having carefully considered all of the arguments and evidence presented by the Government and Defendant, based on

the original offense and the intervening conduct of Easterling, the magistrate judge recommends that this court revoke Easterling supervised release and that Easterling be sentenced to imprisonment for Twelve (12) months, with no term supervised release to follow the term of imprisonment (Clerk's Document No. 63). It is FURTHER RECOMMENDED that Defendant's term of imprisonment is to run concurrently with any state charges.

A party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation, and thereby secure a *de novo* review by the district court. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). A party's failure to timely file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation in a report and recommendation bars that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en bane*). The parties in this cause were properly notified of the consequences of a failure to file objections.

On November 7, 2023, following the hearing on the motion to revoke supervised release, all parties signed a Waiver Of Fourteen Day Rule For Filing Objections To Report and Recommendation Of United States Magistrate Judge (Clerk's Document No. 67). The court, having reviewed the entire record and finding no plain error, accepts


and adopts the report and recommendation filed in this cause.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed in this cause (Clerk's Document No. 63 ) is hereby ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED by this court.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant (1) DEMETRES JAMAR EASTERLING's term of supervised release is hereby REVOKED.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant (1) DEMETRES JAMAR EASTERLING be imprisoned for Twelve (12) months with no term of supervised release. It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's term of imprisonment is to run concurrently with any state charges.

Signed this 20th day of November, 2023.

  
ALAN D ALBRIGHT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE